

**Assignment 7: Solutions**

TOTAL MARKS: 10

1. It is sufficient to show that the properties are true for an arbitrary element of the matrix.

[1 mark]

- (a) Associativity: by the definition of  $\otimes$

$$\begin{aligned} [A \hat{\otimes} (B \hat{\otimes} C)]_{ij} &= \bigoplus_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \otimes [(B \hat{\otimes} C)]_{kj} \\ &= \bigoplus_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \otimes \left( \bigoplus_{m=1}^n b_{km} \otimes c_{mj} \right) \\ &= \bigoplus_{k=1}^n \bigoplus_{m=1}^n a_{ik} \otimes b_{km} \otimes c_{mj}, \end{aligned}$$

by distributivity of  $\otimes$  over  $\oplus$ , and where brackets have been removed from the internal products because  $\otimes$  is associative. Then we can change the order of summation because  $\oplus$  commutes, so

$$\begin{aligned} [A \hat{\otimes} (B \hat{\otimes} C)]_{ij} &= \bigoplus_{m=1}^n \bigoplus_{k=1}^n a_{ik} \otimes b_{km} \otimes c_{mj} \\ &= \bigoplus_{m=1}^n [(A \hat{\otimes} B)]_{im} \otimes c_{mj} \\ &= [(A \hat{\otimes} B) \hat{\otimes} C]_{ij}. \end{aligned}$$

[2 marks]

- (b) Idempotence of  $\hat{\otimes}$ :

We could approach this by finding a counter-example. The operator min is idempotent, so consider the max-min (bottleneck) algebra.

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \hat{\otimes} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix} \neq \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{pmatrix}$$

Another way to think about this would be that if  $\hat{\otimes}$  were idempotent, then  $A^2 = A$ , and hence  $A^k = A$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Given that we would often choose idempotent  $\oplus$  (which *does* imply idempotent  $\hat{\oplus}$ ) we would then get that

$$A^* = I \hat{\oplus} A \hat{\oplus} A^2 \hat{\oplus} \dots = I \hat{\oplus} A,$$

so if we do get this case, then it is rather unusual in that the algebra is 1-stable. That isn't true (for instance for the Bottleneck semiring), so we have a contradiction.

[2 marks]

2. (a)

$$A^* = \begin{pmatrix} 1.0 & 0.3 & 0.9 & 0.15 \\ 0.5 & 1.0 & 0.5 & 0.5 \\ 0.9 & 0.27 & 1.0 & 0.135 \\ 0.3 & 0.6 & 0.3 & 1.0 \end{pmatrix}$$

[3 marks]

- (b) Each element  $A_{ij}^*$  gives the reliability of the most-reliable path between nodes  $i$  and  $j$ , in a graph whose link-reliabilities are given by  $A$ .

For instance  $A_{12}^* = 0.3$  means that the most reliable path from 1 to 2 is the direct link between the two nodes.

[1 mark]

- (c) The underlying graph has asymmetric weights (the reliabilities), and so is best thought of as a directed graphs, and the resulting most-reliable paths are therefore not all symmetric.

[1 mark]